



Republika e Kosovës
Republika Kosova – Republic of Kosovo
ORGANI SHQYRTUES I PROKURIMIT
TELO ZA RAZMATRANJE NABAVKE
PROCUREMENT REVIEW BODY

Psh. No.24/24

Based on Article 105 points 1 and 2 of the Law on Public Procurement of Kosova no. 04/L-042, supplemented and amended by Law 04/L-237, supplemented and amended by Law 05/L-068, supplemented and amended by Law 05/L-092, after considering the complaint of Economic Operator “Selmans Network” Sh.P.K, related to the procurement activity “Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities” with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1 initiated by the contracting authority (CA) – “University of Prishtina”, the President of the Procurement Review Body Vjosa Gradinaj Mexhuani, on the 11/01/2024 has issued this:

DECISION

Dismissed as not allowed, the complaint of EO “Selmans Network” Sh.P.K, with protocol no.24/2024, of the 08/01/2024, complaint filed for the procurement activity: “Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities” with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1 initiated by the contracting authority (CA) – “University of Prishtina”.

It is allowed the contracting authority – “University of Prishtina” to continue with the procurement activity: “Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities” with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1, regarding this complaint, if there is no other complaint about this procurement activity.

REASONING

-Procedural facts and circumstances-

The Procurement Review Body in the electronic system dated 08.01.2024 has received the complaint with protocol no.24/2024, regarding the procurement activity: “Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities” with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1 initiated by the “University of Prishtina”.in the capacity of the contracting authority.

On the 18.11.2023, the "University of Prishtina" in the capacity of Contracting Authority published B05-Contract Notice, where according to this notice the deadline for the submission of offers was: 29/12/2023.

On the 27.12.2023, B54 published the standard form for correcting errors in published notices, where according to this notice, the deadline for submitting offers was: 09.01.2024.

EO "Selmans Network" Sh.P.K. on the 04.01.2024, the EO had submitted a request for reconsideration to the CA. While on the 05.01.2024 CA rejected the request for reconsideration of the economic operator based on regulation 001/2022, article 60 point a.

- *Administration and evaluation of evidence* - From the evidence presented, it is proven that for the procurement activity "Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities" with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1, initiated by "University of Pristina", the contract notice was published in the e-procurement system on 18.11.2023, the deadline for accepting tenders was 09.01.2024. While on the 04.01.2024 EO "Selmans Network" Sh.P.K. had made a request for reconsideration at the CA. On the 05.01.2024, the Contracting Authority rejected the request for reconsideration of the economic operator as ineligible based on Regulation 001/2022, Article 60 point a.

The complainant was obliged, in accordance with paragraph 3.1 of Article 108/A of the LPP, within the legal deadlines to submit a request for reconsideration to the CA and only after leading the preliminary procedures in the CA, the economic operator in accordance with Article 109 of the LPP - will be able to file a complaint with the PRB. Article 108/A paragraph 3.1 of the LPP stipulates that the complaining economic operator must submit the request for review to the relevant contracting authority: if the alleged violation is related to the contract notification or the tender documents within five (5) days before the deadline for submission of offers. Likewise, Article 60.1 point a) in Regulation 001/2022 on Public Procurement determines that: Whenever the request for reconsideration is related to the contract notice or the tender documents at least five (5) days before the deadline for submission of offers. In calculating the time limits, the day of submission of offers is day (0). Therefore, from the evidence presented and the course of this procurement activity, it is established that the complaint submitted to the Procurement Review Body on 08.01.2024 by EO "Selmans Network" Sh .P.K. for the procurement activity entitled: "Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities" with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1, initiated by the "University of Pristina", Yes also referring to the interpretation of the KRPP dated 07.11.2023 -630/2023, which interpretation clarifies the legal deadlines for appeal. Legal Office that this complaint is dismissed as not allowed because the complainant has not submitted the request for reconsideration within the legal deadlines against the notification of the Contracting Authority.

Therefore, the Procurement Review Body clarifies that only after leading a regular preliminary procedure and within the legal deadlines at the CA, the complainant can file a complaint with the PRB. In conclusion, this complaint is in violation of Article 108/A, 109 and of the Law on Public Procurement, and Article 60 of Regulation 001/2022 on Public Procurement, and as such is dismissed as not allowed and was not reviewed by the PRB. However, the PRB always starts

from the rules, solutions and principles of the LPP according to which each CA exercises his/her independence in the sense of article 8 and 9 regarding procurement planning and forecasting needs, but the aforementioned criteria must always guarantee equality of EO which are provided as such also with TD which in terms of Article 27, in connection with Article 28, constitutes the key public procurement document.

Therefore in the sense of Article Article 51 (Notification of Selection Criteria) of the LPP, according to which all selection criteria must be limited only to the criteria necessary to ensure that only economic operators who possess the necessary professional, financial and technical skills to fulfill the terms of the relevant contract will be considered qualified to receive such a contract or to receive an invitation to tender. And that, in no case, the contracting authority should not include, specify or use selection criteria that are based on considerations other than those allowed by the provisions of articles 65-70 of this law. Therefore, the Review Panel informs the CA that in every activity must act in accordance with the legal provisions and authorizations as provided by Articles 1, 6, 7, 27, 28, 51, 59, 60, 69 of the LPP.

The contracting authority - "University of Prishtina" can continue with the procurement activity "Cleaning and maintenance of external and internal spaces in UP Facilities" with procurement number 242-23-12923-2-1-1 , regarding this complaint, if there is no other complaint about this procurement activity. The Procurement Review Body informs that in every CA activity I must act in accordance with the provisions and legal authorizations as defined by article 1, 6, 7, 27, 28, 59, 60 and 65 of the LPP.

Also, the office for accepting complaints has determined that the complainant did not present proof of payment of the fee when submitting the complaint to the PRB in accordance with Article 111 of the LPP.

The President of PRB, based on what was said above, decided as in the provision of this decision.

President of the PRB

Mrs.Vjosa Gradinaj

Legal advice:

An appeal is not allowed against this decision,
but the dissatisfied party can appeal to the Commercial Court,
within 30 days from the date of acceptance of this decision.

Decision to be submitted to:

1x1 CA – **University of Prishtina;**
1x1 EO –**SELMANS NETWORK SH.P.K.;**
1x1 Archive of the PRB;
1x1 For publication on the website of the PRB.